	Case 1:20-cv-00083-NONE-JLT Docume	nt 22 Filed 08/16/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DOMINIC (AKA DIAMOND) VARGAS,	1:20-cv-00083-NONE-JLT (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE PLAINTIFF'S
13	v.	MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
14	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND	
15	REHABILITATION, et al.,	(Doc. 21)
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	On August 12, 2021, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. (Doc.	
19	21.) Currently pending before the Court Plaintiff's second amended complaint, which will be	
20	screened by the Court in due course. (See Doc. 20.)	
21	Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, <i>Rand v</i> .	
22	Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the Court cannot require an attorney to	
23	represent Plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. U.S. Dist. Ct. for the S. Dist. of	
24	Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). The Court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel under	
25	section 1915(e)(1). <i>Rand</i> , 113 F.3d at 1525. However, without a reasonable method of securing	
26	and compensating counsel, the Court will seek volunteer counsel only in the most serious and	
27	exceptional cases. In determining whether exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must	
28	///	

evaluate both the likelihood of success of the merits and the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims *pro se* in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. *Id*. Presently, the Court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Even assuming that Plaintiff is not well-versed in the law and that he has made serious allegations, which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This Court is faced with similar cases. At this early stage in the proceedings, the Court cannot determine whether Plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits. Moreover, based on a review of the record, the Court finds that Plaintiff can articulate his claims adequately. *Id.* For the foregoing reasons, the Court **DENIES WITHOUT PREJUDICE** Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel. (Doc. 21.) IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **August 15, 2021** /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston

Case 1:20-cv-00083-NONE-JLT Document 22 Filed 08/16/21 Page 2 of 2